arXiv:1509.02960v3 [math.NT] 2 Dec 2016

Local Galois representations of Swan conductor one

Naoki Imai and Takahiro Tsushima

Abstract

We construct the local Galois representations over the complex field whose Swan conductors are one by using etale cohomology of Artin-Schreier sheaves on affine lines over finite fields. Then, we study the Galois representations, and give an explicit description of the local Langlands correspondences for simple supercuspidal representations. We discuss also a more natural realization of the Galois representations in the etale cohomology of Artin-Schreier varieties.

Introduction

Let K be a non-archimedean local field. Let n be a positive integer. The existence of the local Langlands correspondence for $GL_n(K)$, proved in [LRS93] and [HT01], is one of the fundamental results in the Langlands program. However, even in this fundamental case, an explicit construction of the local Langlands correspondence has not yet been obtained. One of the most striking results in this direction is Bushnell-Henniart's result for essentially tame representations in [BH05a], [BH05b] and [BH10]. On the other hand, we don't know much about the explicit construction outside essentially tame representations.

We discuss this problem for representations of Swan conductor 1. The irreducible supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(K)$ of Swan conductor 1 are equivalent to the simple supercuspidal representations in the sense of [AL16] (*cf.* [RY14]). Such representations are called "epipelagic" in [BH14].

Let p be the characteristic of the residue field k of K. If n is prime to p, the simple supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(K)$ are essentially tame. Hence, this case is covered by Bushnell-Henniart's work. See also [AL16]. It is discussed in [Kal15] to generalize the construction of the local Langlands correspondence for essentailly tame epipelagic representations to other reductive groups.

In this paper, we consider the case where p divides n. In this case, the the simple supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(K)$ are not essentially tame. Moreover, if n is a power of p, the irreducible representations of the Weil group W_K of Swan conductor 1, which correspond to the simple supercuspidal representations via the local Langlands correspondence, can not be induced from any proper subgroups. Such representations are called primitive (cf. [Koc77]). For simple supercuspidal representations, we have a simple characterization of the local Langlands correspondence given in [BH14]. Actually, based on the characterization, Bushnell-Henniart study the restriction to the wild inertia subgroup of Langlands parameter for the simple supercuspidal representations. It gives a description of the local Langlands correspondence for the simple supercuspidal representations up to some character twists. On the other hand, the construction of the irreducible representations of W_K of Swan conductor 1 is a non-trivial problem. What we will do in this paper is

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11F80; Secondary: 11F70.

- to construct the irreducible representations of W_K of Swan conductor 1 without appealing to the existence of the local Langlands correspondence, and
- to give a description of the local Langlands correspondence for the simple supercuspidal representations without character twists ambiguity.

Let ℓ be a prime number different from p. For the construction of the irreducible representations of W_K of Swan conductor 1, we use etale cohomology of an Artin-Schreier ℓ-adic sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$, where k^{ac} is an algebraic closure of k. It will be possible to avoid usage of geometry in the construction of the irreducible representations of W_K of Swan conductor 1. However, we prefer this approach, because

- we can use geometric tools such as the Lefschetz trace formula and the product formula of Deligne-Laumon to study the constructed representations, and
- the construction works also for ℓ-adic integral coefficients and mod ℓ coefficients.

A description of the local Langlands correspondence for the simple supercuspidal representations is discussed in [IT12] in the special case where $n = p = 2$. Even in the special case, our method in this paper is totally different from that in [IT12].

We explain the main result. We write $n = p^e n'$, where n' is prime to p. We fix a uniformizer ϖ of K and an isomorphism $\iota \colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ be the Artin-Schreier $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$ associated to a non-trivial character ψ of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $\pi \colon \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$ be the morphism defined by $\pi(y) = y^{p^e+1}$. Let $\zeta \in \mu_{q-1}(K)$, where $q = |k|$. We put $E_\zeta = K[X]/(X^{n'} - \zeta\varpi)$. Then we can define a natural action of W_{E_ζ} on $H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}_\psi)$. Using this action, we can associate a primitive representation $\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}$ of W_{E_ζ} to $\zeta \in \mu_{q-1}(K)$, a character χ of $k^\times$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. We construct an irreducible representation $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ of Swan conductor 1 as the induction of $\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}$ to W_K.

We can associate a simple supercuspidal representation $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ of $GL_n(K)$ to the same triple (ζ, χ, c) by the type theory. Any simple supercuspidal representation can be written in this form uniquely.

Theorem. *The representations $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ and $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ correspond via the local Langlands correspondence.*

In Section 1, we recall a general fact on representations of a semi-direct product of a Heisenberg group with a cyclic group. In Section 2, we give a construction of the irreducible representations of W_K of Swan conductor 1. To construct a representation of W_K which naturally fits a description of the local Langlands correspondence, we need a subtle character twist. Such a twist appears also in essentially tame case, which is called a rectifier. Our twist can be considered as an analogue of the rectifier. We construct the representations of W_K using geometry, but we give also a representation theoretic characterization of the constructed representations. In Section 3, we give a construction of the simple supercuspidal representations of $GL_n(K)$ using the type theory.

In Section 4, we state the main theorem and recall a characterization of the local Langlands correspondence for simple supercuspidal representations given in [BH14]. The characterization consists of the three coincidences of (i) the determinant and the central character, (ii) the refined Swan conductors, and (iii) the epsilon factors.

In Section 5, we recall facts on Stiefel-Whitney classes, multiplicative discriminants and additive discriminants. We use these facts to calculate Langlands constants of wildly ramified extensions. In Section 6, we recall the product formula of Deligne-Laumon. In

Section 7, we show the coincidence of the determinant and the central character using the product formula of Deligne-Laumon.

In Section 8, we construct a field extension T_{ζ}^{u} of E_{ζ} such that the restriction of $\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}$ to $W_{T_{\zeta}^{\mathrm{u}}}$ is an induction of a character and $p \nmid [T_{\zeta}^{\mathrm{u}} : E_{\zeta}]$, which we call an imprimitive field. In Section 9, we show the coincidence of the refined Swan conductors. We see also that the constructed representations of W_K are actually simple epeipelagic.

In Section 10, we show the coincidence of the epsilon factors. It is difficult to calculate the epsilon factors of irreducible representations of W_K of Swan conductor 1 directly, because primitive representations are involved. However, we know the equality of the epsilon factors up to p^e-th roots of unity if $n = p^e$, since we have already checked the conditions (i) and (ii) in the characterization. Using this fact and $p \nmid [T_{\zeta}^{\mathrm{u}} : E_{\zeta}]$, the problem is reduced to study an epsilon factor of a character. Next we reduce the problem to the case where the characteristic of K is p and $k = \mathbb{F}_p$. At this stage, it is possible to calculate the epsilon factor if $p \neq 2$. However, it is still difficult if $p = 2$, because the direct calculation of the epsilon factor involves an explicit study of the Artin reciprocity map for a wildly ramified extension with a non-trivial ramification filtration. This is a special phenomenon in the case where $p = 2$. We will avoid this difficulty by reducing the problem to the case where $e = 1$. In this case, we have already known the equality up to sign. Hence, it suffices to show the equality of non-zero real parts. This is easy, because the difficult study of the Artin reciprocity map involves only the imaginary part of the equality.

In Appendix A, we discuss a construction of irreducible representations of W_K of Swan conductor 1 in the cohomology of Artin-Schreier varieties. This geometric construction incorporates a twist by a "rectifier". We see that the "rectifier" parts come from the cohomology of Artin-Schreier varieties associated to quadratic forms. The Artin-Schreier varieties which we use have origins in a study of Lubin-Tate spaces in [IT16].

Notation

For a finite abelian group A, let $A^{\vee}$ denote the character group $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{C}^{\times})$. For a non-archimedean local field K, let

- $\mathcal{O}_K$ denote the ring of integers of K,
- $\mathfrak{p}_K$ denote the maxmal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$,
- v_K denote the normalized valuation of K which sends a uniformizer of K to 1,
- $\mathrm{ch}\, K$ denote the characteristic of K,
- G_K denote the absolute Galois group of K,
- W_K denote the Weil group of K,

and we put $U_K^m = 1 + \mathfrak{p}_K^m$ for any positive integer m.

1 Representations of finite groups

First, we recall a fact on representations of Heisenberg groups. Let G be a finite group with center Z. We assume the following:

(i) The group G/Z is an elementary abelian p-group.

(ii) For any $g \in G \setminus Z$, the map $c_g \colon G \to Z;\ g' \mapsto [g, g']$ is surjective.

Remark 1.1. *The map c_g in (ii) is a group homomorphism. Hence, Z is automatically an elementary abelian p-group.*

Let $\psi \in Z^\vee$ be a non-trivial character.

Proposition 1.2. *([BF83, (8.3.3) Proposition]) There is a unique irreducible representation ρ_ψ of G such that $\rho_\psi|_Z$ contains ψ. Moreover, we have $(\dim \rho_\psi)^2 = [G : Z]$.*

Next, we consider representations of a semi-direct product of a Heisenberg group with a cyclic group. Let $A \subset \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be a cyclic subgroup of order p^e+1 where $e = (\log_p [G : Z])/2$. We assume the following:

(iii) The group A act on Z trivially.

(iv) For any non-trivial element $a \in A$, the action of a on G/Z fixes only the unit element.

We consider the semi-direct product $A \ltimes G$ by the action of A on G.

Lemma 1.3. *There is a unique irreducible representation ρ'_ψ of $A \ltimes G$ such that $\rho'_\psi|_G \simeq \rho_\psi$ and $\mathrm{tr}\, \rho'_\psi(a) = -1$ for every non-trivial element $a \in A$.*

Proof. The claim is proved in the proof of [BH06, 22.2 Lemma] if Z is cyclic and ψ is a faithful character. In fact, the same proof works also in our case. □

2 Galois representations

2.1 Swan conductor

Let K be a non-archimedean local field with residue field k. Let p be the characteristic of k. Let f be the extension degree of k over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We put $q = p^f$.

We define $\psi_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p^\vee$ by $\psi_0(1) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p}$. We take an additive character $\psi_K \colon K \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $\psi_K(x) = \psi_0(\mathrm{Tr}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p}(\bar{x}))$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Let τ be a finite dimensional irreducible continuous representation of W_K over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\epsilon(\tau, s, \psi_K)$ denote the Deligne-Langlands local constant of τ with respect to ψ_K. We simply write $\epsilon(\tau, \psi_K)$ for $\epsilon(\tau, 1/2, \psi_K)$. By [BH06, 29.4 Proposition], there exists an integer $\mathrm{sw}(\tau)$ such that

$$\epsilon(\tau, s, \psi_K) = q^{-\mathrm{sw}(\tau)s} \epsilon(\tau, 0, \psi_K).$$

We put $\mathrm{Sw}(\tau) = \max\{\mathrm{sw}(\tau), 0\}$, which we call the Swan conductor of τ.

2.2 Construction

We fix an algebraic closure K^{ac} of K. Let k^{ac} be the residue field of K^{ac}. Let n be a positive integer. We write $n = p^e n'$ with $(p, n') = 1$. Throughout this paper, we assume that $e \geq 1$. Let

$$Q = \left\{ g(a, b, c) \;\middle|\; a \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}}),\ b, c \in k^{\mathrm{ac}},\ \ b^{p^{2e}} + b = 0,\ \ c^p - c + b^{p^e+1} = 0 \right\}$$

be the group whose multiplication is given by

$$g(a_1, b_1, c_1) \cdot g(a_2, b_2, c_2) = g\left(a_1 a_2, b_1 + a_1 b_2, c_1 + c_2 + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} \left(a_1 b_1^{p^e} b_2\right)^{p^i} \right).$$

Note that $|Q| = p^{2e+1}(p^e+1)$. Let $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ be a semidirect product, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ acts on Q by $g(a,b,c) \mapsto g(a^{p^{-m}}, b^{p^{-m}}, c^{p^{-m}})$. We put

$$\mathrm{Fr}(m) = (g(1,0,0), m)$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let C be the smooth affine curve over k^{ac} defined by

$$x^p - x = y^{p^e+1} \ \text{ in } \mathbb{A}^2_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}.$$

We define a right action of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ on C by

$$\begin{aligned} (x,y)(g(a,b,c),0) &= \left(x + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (by)^{p^i} + c, a(y + b^{p^e}) \right), \\ (x,y)\,\mathrm{Fr}(1) &= (x^p, y^p). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} h &\colon \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}};\ x \mapsto x^p - x, \\ \pi &\colon \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}};\ y \mapsto y^{p^e+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the fiber product

$$\begin{tikzcd} C \arrow[r, "h'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\square"] & \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \arrow[r, "h"'] & \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \end{tikzcd}$$

where π' and h' are the natural projections to the first and second coordinates respectively. Let $g = (g(a,b,c), m) \in Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. We consider the morphism $g_0 \colon \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}; y \mapsto \bigl(a(y + b^{p^e})\bigr)^{p^m}$. Let ℓ be a prime number different from p. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$c_g \colon g_0^* h'_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} h'_* g^* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} h'_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell.$$

We take an isomorphism $\iota \colon \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{C}$. We sometimes view a character over $\mathbb{C}$ as a character over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ by ι. Let $\psi \in \mathbb{F}_p^\vee$. We write $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ for the Artin-Schreier $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$ associated to ψ, which is equal to $\mathfrak{F}(\psi)$ in the notation of [Del77, Sommes trig. 1.8 (i)]. Then we have a decomposition $h_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell = \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{F}_p^\vee} \mathcal{L}_\psi$. This decomposition gives a canonical isomorphism

$$h'_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \pi^* h_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \mathbb{F}_p^\vee} \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi. \tag{2.1}$$

The isomorphisms c_g and (2.1) induces $c_{g,\psi} \colon g_0^* \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \to \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi$. We define a left action of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ on $H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)$ by

$$H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \xrightarrow{g_0^*} H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, g_0^* \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \xrightarrow{c_{g,\psi}} H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)$$

for $g \in Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Let τ_ψ be the representation of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ defined by $H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)$ and ι. For $\chi \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})^\vee$, let $\mathcal{K}_\chi$ be the smooth Kummer $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$ associated to χ.

Lemma 2.1. *We have a natural isomorphism*

$$H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})^\vee \setminus \{1\}} H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \mathcal{L}_\psi \otimes \mathcal{K}_\chi).$$

Proof. By the projection formula, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\pi_*\pi^*\mathcal{L}_\psi \simeq \mathcal{L}_\psi \otimes \pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \simeq \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})^\vee} \mathcal{L}_\psi \otimes \mathcal{K}_\chi \tag{2.2}$$

on $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\{0\}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}_\psi) \to H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}_\psi) \to 0, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{0\}$ denotes the origin of $\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$. The claim follows from (2.2) and (2.3), because we have a natural isomorphism $H^0(\{0\}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \simeq H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \mathcal{L}_\psi)$. $\square$

Let $\varrho\colon \mu_2(k) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the non-trivial group homomorphism, if $p \neq 2$. We define a character $\chi_0 \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})^\vee$ by

$$\chi_0(a) = \begin{cases} \varrho(a^{(p^e+1)/2}) & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}$$

for $a \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})$. For an integer m and a positive odd integer m', let $\left(\frac{m}{m'}\right)$ denote the Jacobi symbol. We define a representation τ_n of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ as the twist of τ_{ψ_0} by the character

$$Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^\times;\ (g(a,b,c), m) \mapsto \begin{cases} \chi_0(a)^n \left\{ \left(\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}} \left(\frac{-2n'}{p}\right) \right)^n p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^m & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ \left\{ (-1)^{\frac{n(n-2)}{8}} p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^m & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Let $(\zeta, \chi, c) \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. We choose an element $\varphi'_\zeta \in K^{\mathrm{ac}}$ such that $\varphi'^{n'}_\zeta = \zeta\varpi$ and set $E_\zeta = K(\varphi'_\zeta)$. We choose elements α_ζ, β_ζ and γ_ζ such that

$$\alpha_\zeta^{p^e+1} = -\varphi'_\zeta, \quad \beta_\zeta^{p^{2e}} + \beta_\zeta = -\alpha_\zeta^{-1}, \quad \gamma_\zeta^p - \gamma_\zeta = \beta_\zeta^{p^e+1}. \tag{2.5}$$

For $\sigma \in W_{E_\zeta}$, we set

$$a_\sigma = \sigma(\alpha_\zeta)/(\alpha_\zeta), \quad b_\sigma = a_\sigma\sigma(\beta_\zeta) - \beta_\zeta, \quad c_\sigma = \sigma(\gamma_\zeta) - \gamma_\zeta + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (b_\sigma^{p^e}(\beta_\zeta + b_\sigma))^{p^i}. \tag{2.6}$$

Then we have $a_\sigma, b_\sigma, c_\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{K^{\mathrm{ac}}}$. Let $\mathrm{Art}_{E_\zeta}\colon E_\zeta^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{E_\zeta}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ be the Artin reciprocity map, which sends a uniformizer to a lift of the geometric Frobenius element. For $\sigma \in W_{E_\zeta}$, we put $n_\sigma = v_{E_\zeta}(\mathrm{Art}_{E_\zeta}^{-1}(\sigma))$. We have a surjective homomorphism

$$\Theta_\zeta\colon W_{E_\zeta} \longrightarrow Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z};\ \sigma \mapsto \big(g(\bar{a}_\sigma, \bar{b}_\sigma, \bar{c}_\sigma), fn_\sigma\big). \tag{2.7}$$

We write $\tau_{n,\zeta}$ for the inflation of τ_n by Θ_ζ.

Let $\phi_c\colon W_{E_\zeta} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character defined by $\phi_c(\sigma) = c^{n_\sigma}$. We have the isomorphism $\varphi'^{\mathbb{Z}}_\zeta \times \mathcal{O}_{E_\zeta}^\times \simeq E_\zeta^\times$ given by the multiplication. Let $\mathrm{Frob}_p\colon k^\times \to k^\times$ be the inverse of the p-th power map. We consider the following composite:

$$\lambda_\zeta\colon W_{E_\zeta}^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq E_\zeta^\times \simeq \varphi'^{\mathbb{Z}}_\zeta \times \mathcal{O}_{E_\zeta}^\times \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} \mathcal{O}_{E_\zeta}^\times \xrightarrow{\mathrm{can.}} k^\times \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Frob}_p^e} k^\times.$$

We put

$$\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c} = \tau_{n,\zeta} \otimes (\chi \circ \lambda_\zeta) \otimes \phi_c \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{\zeta,\chi,c} = \mathrm{Ind}_{E_\zeta/K} \tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}.$$

We will see that $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ is an irreducible representation of Swan conductor 1 in Proposition 9.8.

2.3 Characterization

We put

$$Q_0 = \{g(1,b,c) \in Q\}, \quad F = \{g(1,0,c) \in Q \mid c \in \mathbb{F}_p\}.$$

We identify $\mathbb{F}_p$ with F by $c \mapsto g(1,0,c)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $g = g(1,b,c) \in Q_0$ with $b \neq 0$, the map $Q_0 \to F$; $g' \mapsto [g,g']$ is surjective.*

Proof. For $g(1,b_1,c_1), g(1,b_2,c_2) \in Q_0$, we have

$$[g(1,b_1,c_1), g(1,b_2,c_2)] = g\left(1, 0, \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (b_1^{p^e} b_2 - b_1 b_2^{p^e})^{p^i}\right).$$

If $b_1 \neq 0$, then

$$\{b \in k^{\mathrm{ac}} \mid b^{p^{2e}} + b = 0\} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^e};\ b_2 \to b_1^{p^e} b_2 - b_1 b_2^{p^e}$$

is surjective. The claim follows from the surjectivity of $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^e}/\mathbb{F}_p}$. □

By this lemma, we can apply a result of Section 1 to our situation with $G = Q_0$, $Z = F$ and $A = \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})$. Let τ^0 denote the unique irreducible representation of Q characterized by

$$\tau^0|_F \simeq \psi_0^{\oplus p^e}, \quad \mathrm{Tr}\,\tau^0(g(a,0,0)) = -1 \tag{2.8}$$

for $a \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}}) \setminus \{1\}$. We have a decomposition

$$\tau^0 = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})^\vee \setminus \{1\}} L_\chi \tag{2.9}$$

such that $a \in \mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})$ acts on L_χ by $\chi(a)$. For a positive integer m dividing p^e+1, we consider $\mu_m(k^{\mathrm{ac}})^\vee$ as a subset of $\mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})^\vee$ by the dual of the surjection

$$\mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}}) \to \mu_m(k^{\mathrm{ac}});\ x \to x^{\frac{p^e+1}{m}}.$$

We simply write Q for the subgroup $Q \times \{0\} \subset Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. We view χ_0 as a character of Q by $g(a,b,c) \mapsto \chi_0(a)$.

Lemma 2.3. *We have $\tau_{\psi_0}|_Q \simeq \tau^0$.*

Proof. We can see the claim by Lemma 2.1 and (2.8). □

Lemma 2.4. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\tau_{\psi_0}(\mathrm{Fr}(1)) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p} & \textit{if } p \neq 2, \\ 0 & \textit{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the Lefschetz trace formula, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,\tau_{\psi_0}(\mathrm{Fr}(1)) = -\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_p} \psi_0(b^2) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

where we use a result of Gauss at the second equality. □

We assume $p = 2$ in this paragraph. We take $b_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2e}}$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^{2e}}/\mathbb{F}_2}(b_0) = 1$. Further, we put

$$c_0 = b_0^{2^e} + \sum_{0 \le i < j \le e-1} b_0^{2^{e+i}+2^j}.$$

Then we have $c_0^2 - c_0 = b_0^{2^e+1}$. We put

$$\boldsymbol{g} = \bigl(g(1, b_0, c_0), -1\bigr).$$

Lemma 2.5. *We assume that* $p = 2$. *Then we have* $\mathrm{Tr}\, \tau_{\psi_0}(\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}) = -2$.

Proof. We note that

$$\boldsymbol{g}^{-1} = \mathrm{Fr}(1)\left(g\left(1, b_0, c_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (b_0^{2^e+1})^{2^i}\right), 0\right). \tag{2.10}$$

For $y \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$ satisfying $y^2 + b_0^{2^e} = y$, we take $x_y \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$ such that $x_y^{2^m} - x_y = y^{2^e+1}$. We take $y_0 \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$ such that $y_0^2 + b_0^{2^e} = y_0$. Then, by the Lefschetz trace formula and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}\, \tau_{\psi_0}(\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}) &= -\sum_{y^2 + b_0^{2^e} = y} \psi_0\left(x_y^2 - x_y + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (b_0 y^2)^{2^i} + c_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (b_0^{2^e+1})^{2^i} \right) \\
&= -\sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_2} \psi_0\left((y_0 + z)^{2^e+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} \bigl(b_0(y_0+z)\bigr)^{2^i} + c_0 \right) = -2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we change variable by $y = y_0 + z$ at the second equality, and use

$$y_0^{2^e+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (b_0 y_0)^{2^i} = b_0^{2^e} + \sum_{0 \le i < j \le e-1} b_0^{2^{e+i}+2^j}$$

at the last equality. □

Proposition 2.6. *The representation* τ_{ψ_0} *is characterized by* $\tau_{\psi_0}|_Q \simeq \tau^0$ *and*

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}\, \tau_{\psi_0}\bigl(\mathrm{Fr}(1)\bigr) = -\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} p} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ \mathrm{Tr}\, \tau_{\psi_0}(\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}) = -2 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, τ_{ψ_0} *does not depend on the choice of* ℓ *and* ι.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5. □

3 Representations of general linear algebraic groups

3.1 Simple supercuspidal representation

Let π be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $GL_n(K)$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi_K)$ denote the Godement-Jacquet local constant of π with respect to ψ_K. We simply write $\epsilon(\pi, \psi_K)$ for $\epsilon(\pi, 1/2, \psi_K)$. By [GJ72, Theorem 3.3 (4)], there exists an integer $\mathrm{sw}(\pi)$ such that

$$\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi_K) = q^{-\mathrm{sw}(\pi)s} \epsilon(\pi, 0, \psi_K).$$

We put $\mathrm{Sw}(\pi) = \max\{\mathrm{sw}(\pi), 0\}$, which we call the Swan conductor of π.

Definition 3.1. *An irreducible supercuspidal representation* π *of* $GL_n(K)$ *over* $\mathbb{C}$ *is called simple supercuspidal, if* $\mathrm{Sw}(\pi) = 1$.

3.2 Construction

In the following, we construct a smooth representation $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ of $GL_n(K)$ for each triple $(\zeta,\chi,c) \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Let $B \subset M_n(k)$ be the subring consisting of upper triangular matrices. Let $\mathfrak{I} \subset M_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ be the inverse image of B under the reduction map $M_n(\mathcal{O}_K) \to M_n(k)$. Then $\mathfrak{I}$ is a hereditary $\mathcal{O}_K$-order (cf. [BK93, (1.1)]). Let $\mathfrak{P}$ denote the Jacobson radical of the order $\mathfrak{I}$. We put $U^1_{\mathfrak{I}} = 1 + \mathfrak{P} \subset GL_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We set

$$\varphi_\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & I_{n-1} \\ \zeta\varpi & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(K) \quad \text{and} \quad L_\zeta = K(\varphi_\zeta).$$

Then, L_ζ is a totally ramified extension of K of degree n.

We put $\varphi_{\zeta,n} = n'\varphi_\zeta$ and

$$\varepsilon_0 = \begin{cases} (n'+1)/2 & \text{if } p^e = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } p^e \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

We define a character $\Lambda_{\zeta,\chi,c} \colon L_\zeta^\times U^1_{\mathfrak{I}} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\Lambda_{\zeta,\chi,c}(\varphi_\zeta) = (-1)^{n-1+\varepsilon_0 f} c, \quad \Lambda_{\zeta,\chi,c}(x) = \chi(\bar{x}) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times,$$
$$\Lambda_{\zeta,\chi,c}(x) = (\psi_K \circ \mathrm{tr})(\varphi_{\zeta,n}^{-1}(x-1)) \quad \text{for } x \in U^1_{\mathfrak{I}}.$$

We put

$$\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c} = \text{c-Ind}_{L_\zeta^\times U^1_{\mathfrak{I}}}^{GL_n(K)} \Lambda_{\zeta,\chi,c}.$$

Then, $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ is a simple supercuspidal representation of $GL_n(K)$, and every simple supercuspidal representation is isomorphic to $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ for a uniquely determined $(\zeta,\chi,c) \in \mu_{q-1}(K) \times (k^\times)^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ by [IT14, Proposition 1.2]. The representation $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ contains the m-simple stratum $\left[\mathfrak{I}, 1, 0, \varphi_{\zeta,n}^{-1}\right]$ in the sense of [BH14, 2.1].

Proposition 3.2. *$\epsilon(\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}, \psi_K) = (-1)^{n-1+\varepsilon_0 f}\chi(n')c$.*

Proof. This follows from [BH99, 6.1 Lemma 2 and 6.3 Proposition 1]. ☐

4 Local Langlands correspondence

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 4.1. *The representations $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ and $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ correspond via the local Langlands correspondence.*

To prove this theorem, we recall a characterization of the local Langlands correspondence for epipelagic representations due to Bushnell-Henniart. The following lemma is a special case of [DH81, Théorème].

Lemma 4.2. *([BH14, 2.3 Lemma]) Let τ be an irreducible smooth representation of W_K such that $\mathrm{sw}(\tau) \geq 1$. Then, there exists $\gamma_{\tau,\psi_K} \in K^\times$ such that*

$$\epsilon(\chi \otimes \tau, s, \psi_K) = \chi(\gamma_{\tau,\psi_K})^{-1}\epsilon(\tau, s, \psi_K)$$

for any tamely ramified character χ of W_K. This property determines the coset $\gamma_{\tau,\psi_K} U^1_K$ uniquely.

Definition 4.3. *Let* τ *be an irreducible smooth representation of* W_K *such that* $\mathrm{sw}(\tau) \geq 1$. *We take* γ_{τ,ψ_K} *as in Lemma 4.2. We put* $\mathrm{rsw}(\tau,\psi_K) = \gamma_{\tau,\psi_K}^{-1} \in K^\times/U_K^1$, *which we call the refined Swan conductor of* τ.

Remark 4.4. *We have* $v\bigl(\mathrm{rsw}(\tau,\psi_K)\bigr) = \mathrm{Sw}(\tau)$ *in Definition 4.3.*

Lemma 4.5. *(cf. [BH99, 1.4 Theorem]) Let* π *be an irreducible smooth supercuspidal representation of* $GL_n(K)$ *such that* $\mathrm{sw}(\pi) \geq 1$.
1. *There exists* $\gamma_{\pi,\psi_K} \in K^\times$ *such that*

$$\epsilon(\chi \otimes \pi, s, \psi_K) = \chi(\gamma_{\pi,\psi_K})^{-1}\epsilon(\pi, s, \psi_K)$$

for any tamely ramified character χ *of* $K^\times$. *This property determines the coset* $\gamma_{\pi,\psi_K}U_K^1$ *uniquely.*
2. *Let* $\bigl[\mathfrak{A}, m, 0, \alpha\bigr]$ *be a simple stratum contained in* π. *Then we have* $\gamma_{\pi,\psi_K} \equiv \det\alpha \mod U_K^1$.

Proof. This follows from [BH99, 6.1 Lemma 2 and (6.1.1)]. ☐

Definition 4.6. *Let* π *be an irreducible smooth supercuspidal representation of* $GL_n(K)$ *such that* $\mathrm{sw}(\pi) \geq 1$. *We take* γ_{π,ψ_K} *as in Lemma 4.5. Then we put* $\mathrm{rsw}(\pi,\psi_K) = \gamma_{\pi,\psi_K}^{-1} \in K^\times/U_K^1$, *which we call the refined Swan conductor of* π.

Remark 4.7. *We have* $v\bigl(\mathrm{rsw}(\pi,\psi_K)\bigr) = \mathrm{Sw}(\pi)$ *in Definition 4.6.*

For an irreducible smooth supercuspidal representation π of $GL_n(K)$, let ω_π denote the central character of π.

Proposition 4.8. *([BH14, 2.3 Proposition]) Let* π *be a simple supercuspidal representation of* $GL_n(K)$. *The Langlands parameter for* π *is characterized as the* n*-dimensional irreducible smooth representation* τ *of* W_K *satisfying*

(i) $\det\tau = \omega_\pi$,

(ii) $\mathrm{rsw}(\tau,\psi_K) = \mathrm{rsw}(\pi,\psi_K)$,

(iii) $\epsilon(\tau,\psi_K) = \epsilon(\pi,\psi_K)$.

We will show that $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ and $\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 4.8 in Proposition 7.6, Proposition 9.5, Lemma 9.7 and Proposition 10.7.

5 Stiefel-Whitney class and discriminant

5.1 Stiefel-Whitney class

Let $R(W_K,\mathbb{R})$ be the Grothendieck group of finite-dimensional real representations of W_K over $\mathbb{C}$ with finite images. For $V \in R(W_K,\mathbb{R})$, we can define the i-th Stiefel-Whitney class $w_i(V) \in H^i(G_K,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ for $i \geq 0$ as in [Del76, (1.3)]. Let

$$\mathrm{cl}\colon H^2(G_K,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(G_K,\overline{K}^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where the first map is induced by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \overline{K}^\times; m \to (-1)^m$, and the second isomorphism is the invariant map.

Theorem 5.1. *([Del76, (1.5) Théorème]) Assume that* $V \in R(W_K,\mathbb{R})$ *has dimension* 0 *and determinant* 1. *Then we have*

$$\epsilon(V,\psi_K) = \exp\bigl(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathrm{cl}(w_2(V))\bigr).$$

In particular, we have $\epsilon(V,\psi_K) = 1$ *if* $\mathrm{ch}\, K = 2$.

5.2 Discriminant

Let L be a finite separable extension of K. We put

$$\delta_{L/K} = \det(\operatorname{Ind}_{L/K} 1).$$

5.2.1 Multiplicative discriminant

We assume that $\operatorname{ch} K \neq 2$ in this subsubsection. We define $d_{L/K} \in K^\times/(K^\times)^2$ as the discriminant of the quadratic form $\operatorname{Tr}_{L/K}(x^2)$ on L. For $a \in K^\times/(K^\times)^2$, let $\{a\} \in H^1(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and $\kappa_a \in \operatorname{Hom}(W_K, \{\pm 1\})$ be the elements corresponding to a under the natural isomorphisms

$$K^\times/(K^\times)^2 \simeq H^1(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(W_K, \{\pm 1\}).$$

We have

$$\delta_{L/K} = \kappa_{d_{L/K}} \tag{5.1}$$

by [Ser84, 1.4]. For $a, b \in K^\times/(K^\times)^2$, we put

$$\{a, b\} = \{a\} \cup \{b\} \in H^2(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proposition 5.2. *([AS10, Proposition 6.5]) Let m be the extension degree of L over K. We take a generator a of L over K. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be the minimal polynomial of a. We put $D = f'(a) \in L$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L/K} &= (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \operatorname{Nr}_{L/K}(D) \in K^\times/(K^\times)^2, \\ w_2(\operatorname{Ind}_{L/K} \kappa_D) &= \binom{m}{4}\{-1, -1\} + \{d_{L/K}, 2\} \in H^2(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

5.2.2 Additive discriminant

We put $P_m(x) = x^m - x$ for any positive integer m. We assume that $\operatorname{ch} K = 2$ in this subsubsection.

Definition 5.3. *([BM85, Définition 2.7]) Let m be the extension degree of L over K. We take the minimal polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$ of a generator of L over K. We have a decomposition $f(x) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m}(x - a_i)$ over the Galois closure of L over K. We put*

$$d^+_{L/K} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{a_i a_j}{(a_i + a_j)^2} \in K/P_2(K),$$

which we call the additive discriminant of L over K.

Theorem 5.4. *([BM85, Théorème 2.7]) Let L' be the subextension of K^{ac} over K corresponding to* $\operatorname{Ker} \delta_{L/K}$. *Then the extension L' over K corresponds to $d^+_{L/K} \in K/P_2(K)$ by the Artin-Schreier theory.*

6 Product formula of Deligne-Laumon

We recall a statement of the product formula of Deligne-Laumon. In this paper, we need only the rank one case, which is proved in [Del73, Proposition 10.12.1], but we follow the notation in [Lau87].

6.1 Local factor

We consider a triple $(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ which consists of the following:

- Affine scheme T associated to the ring of integers in a local field K_T of characteristic p whose residue field contains k.
- A constructible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on T.
- A non-zero meromorphic 1-form ω on T.

Then we can associate $\epsilon_{\psi_0}(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ to the triple $(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ as in [Lau87, Théorème (3.1.5.4)] using ι.

Assume that $K_T = k((t))$. Let $\eta = \operatorname{Spec} k((t))$ be the generic point of T with the natural inclusion $j \colon \eta \to T$. We defin a character $\Psi_\omega \colon k((t)) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\Psi_\omega(a) = (\psi_0 \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p})(\operatorname{Res}(a\omega))$$

for $a \in k((t))$. Let $l(\Psi_\omega)$ be the level of Ψ_ω in the sense of [BH06, 1.7 Definition]. We define an unramified character $\omega_u \colon K_T^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by $\omega_u(\varpi) = q^{-u}$ for $u \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}$. For a rank 1 smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf V on η corresponding to a character $\chi \colon G_{k((t))} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ via ι, we have

$$\epsilon_{\psi_0}(T, j_* V, \omega) = q^{-l(\Psi_\omega)/2} \epsilon(\chi\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_\omega) \tag{6.1}$$

by [Lau87, Théorème (3.1.5.4)(v)], [Tat79, (3.6.2)] and [BH06, 23.1 Proposition (3)].

6.2 Product formula

Let X be a geometrically connected proper smooth curve over k of genus g. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on X. We put

$$\epsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) = \iota\Big(\det\big(-\mathrm{Frob}_q; R\Gamma(X \otimes_k k^{\mathrm{ac}}, \mathcal{F})\big)^{-1}\Big),$$

where Frob_q is a geometric q-th power Frobenius map. Let $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})$ be the generic rank of $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 6.1. *([Lau87, Théorème (3.2.1.1)]) Let ω be a non-zero meromorphic 1-form on X. Then we have*

$$\epsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) = q^{\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{F})(1-g)} \prod_{x \in |X|} \epsilon_{\psi_0}(X_{(x)}, \mathcal{F}|_{X_{(x)}}, \omega|_{X_{(x)}}),$$

where $|X|$ is the set of closed points of X, and $X_{(x)}$ is the completion of X at x.

7 Determinant

Lemma 7.1. $Q^{\mathrm{ab}} = Q/Q_0$.

Proof. $Q^{\mathrm{ab}} = (Q/F)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ by Lemma 2.2. For $g(a,b,c) \in Q$, let $g(a,b)$ be the image of $g(a,b,c)$ in Q/F. Then we have

$$g(a,0)g(a,b)g(a,0)^{-1}g(a,b)^{-1} = g(1,(a-1)b).$$

Hence, we have the claim. □

Lemma 7.2. $\det \tau^0 = \chi_0$.

Proof. By Lemma 7.1, it suffices to show $\det \tau^0 = \chi_0$ on $\mu_{p^e+1}(k^{\mathrm{ac}})$. This follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3. ☐

For $a \in k$, let $\left(\frac{a}{k}\right)$ denote the quadratic residue symbol of k.

Lemma 7.3. *Let m be a positive integer that is prime to p. We take an m-th root $\varpi^{1/m}$ of ϖ, and put $L = K(\varpi^{1/m})$.*
1. *If m is odd, then $\delta_{L/K}$ is the unramified character satisfying $\delta_{L/K}(\varpi) = \left(\frac{q}{m}\right)$.*
2. *If m is even, we have $\delta_{L/K}(\varpi) = \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}}$ and $\delta_{L/K}(x) = \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{k}\right)$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$.*

Proof. These are proved in [BF83, (10.1.6)] if $\operatorname{ch} K = 0$. Actually, the same proof works also in the positive characteristic case. ☐

For a finite separable extension L over K and a non-trivial character $\Psi \colon K \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let $\lambda(L/K, \Psi)$ denote the Langlands constant (cf. [BH06, 30.4]).

Lemma 7.4. *Let m, m' be positive integers that are prime to p. We take an m-th root $\varpi^{1/m}$ of ϖ, and put $L = K(\varpi^{1/m})$. Let $\psi'_K \colon K \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be a character such that $\psi'_K(x) = \psi_0(\mathrm{Tr}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p}(m'\bar{x}))$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then we have*

$$\lambda(L/K, \psi'_K) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q}{m}\right) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ -\left\{\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}} \left(\frac{2mm'}{p}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-1}\right\}^f & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If m is odd, we have

$$\lambda(L/K, \psi'_K) = \epsilon(\delta_{L/K}, \psi'_K) = \left(\frac{q}{m}\right)$$

by [Hen84, Proposition 2] and Lemma 7.3.1.

Assume that m is even. Note that $p \neq 2$ in this case. Then we have $d_{L/K} = -(-1)^{m/2}\varpi$ in $K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^2$ by Proposition 5.2. We have

$$\epsilon(\delta_{L/K}, \psi'_K) = q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x \in k^{\times}} \left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \psi_0(\mathrm{Tr}_{k/\mathbb{F}_p}(m'x)) = -\left\{\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}} \left(\frac{m'}{p}\right)\right\}^f$$

by formulas of Hasse-Davenport and Gauss. Hence, we have

$$\lambda(L/K, \psi'_K) = \epsilon(\delta_{L/K}, \psi'_K) \left(\frac{m}{q}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} (d_{L/K}, 2)_K = -\left\{\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}} \left(\frac{2mm'}{p}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}-1}\right\}^f$$

by [Sai95, Theorem II.2B], where $(\ ,\ )_K$ is the Hilbert symbol over K. ☐

Lemma 7.5. *We have*

$$\det \tau_{\psi_0}(\mathrm{Fr}(1)) = \begin{cases} \left\{\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)\right\}^f q^{\frac{p^e}{2}} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ q^{2^{e-1}} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let x be the standard coordinate of $\mathbb{A}^1_k$. Let j be the open immersion $\mathbb{A}^1_k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_k$. We put $t = 1/x$. We write j also for the open immersion $\operatorname{Spec} k((t)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k[[t]]$. Let $\xi \colon G_{k((t))} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the Artin-Schreier character defined by $y^p - y = 1/t^{p^e+1}$ and ψ_0. Let V_ξ be the smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $\operatorname{Spec} k((t))$ corresponding to ξ via ι. Then we have

$$\det \tau_{\psi_0}\bigl(\mathrm{Fr}(1)\bigr) = (-1)^p \epsilon(\mathbb{P}^1_k, j_! \pi^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi_0}) = (-1)^p q \epsilon_{\psi_0}(k[[t]], j_! V_\xi, -t^{-2}dt)$$

by Theorem 6.1 with $\omega = dx$. Since ξ is a ramified character, we have

$$\epsilon_{\psi_0}(k[[t]], j_! V_\xi, -t^{-2}dt) = \epsilon_{\psi_0}(k[[t]], j_* V_\xi, -t^{-2}dt) = q^{-1} \epsilon(\xi\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{-t^{-2}dt})$$

by (6.1). We have

$$\epsilon(\xi\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{-t^{-2}dt}) = (\xi\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}})(-t^{-1}) \epsilon(\xi\omega_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \Psi_{t^{-1}dt}) = q^{\frac{p^e}{2}} \epsilon(\xi, \Psi_{t^{-1}dt})$$

by [BH06, 23.8 Stability theorem], since the level of ξ is $p^e + 1$. Let $\tilde{\xi} \colon G_{k((s))} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the Artin-Schreier character defined by $y^p - y = 1/s$. We consider $k((s))$ as a subfield of $k((t))$ by $s = t^{p^e+1}$. Then ξ is the restriction of $\tilde{\xi}$ to $W_{k((t))}$. Hence, we have

$$\epsilon(\xi, \Psi_{t^{-1}dt}) = \lambda\bigl(k((t))/k((s)), \Psi_{s^{-1}ds}\bigr)^{-1} \delta_{k((t))/k((s))}\bigl(\mathrm{rsw}(\tilde{\xi}, \Psi_{s^{-1}ds})\bigr) \epsilon(\tilde{\xi}, \Psi_{s^{-1}ds})^{p^e+1}.$$

The claim follows from the above equalities, Lemma 7.4 and [BH06, (23.6.2) and (23.6.4)]. □

We simply write τ_ζ for $\tau_{\zeta,1,1}$.

Proposition 7.6. *We have* $\omega_{\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}} = \det \tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$.

Proof. By [Del73, Proposition 1.2], we have

$$\det \tau_{\zeta,\chi,c} = \delta^{p^e}_{E_\zeta/K} (\det \tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c})|_{K^\times}. \tag{7.1}$$

Hence, we may assume $\chi = 1$ and $c = 1$ by twist. Then it suffices to show $\det \tau_\zeta = 1$. We see that $\det \tau_\zeta$ is unramified by Lemma 2.3, Lemma 7.2, Lemma 7.3 and (7.1).

If p and n' are odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det \tau_\zeta(\varpi) &= \left(\frac{q}{n'}\right)^{p^e} \left\{ \sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) p^{\frac{p^e}{2}} \right\}^{fn'} \left\{ \left(\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}} \left(\frac{-2n'}{p}\right) \right)^{n} p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^{fn'p^e} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\frac{p}{n'}\right) \left(\frac{n'}{p}\right) \sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}(1+p^e n)+p-1} \right\}^{fn'} = \left\{ \left(\frac{p}{n'}\right) \left(\frac{n'}{p}\right) (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}\frac{n'-1}{2}} \right\}^{fn'} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

by (7.1), Lemma 7.3.1 and Lemma 7.5. We see that $\det \tau_\zeta(\varpi) = 1$ similarly also in the other case using (7.1), Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.5. □

8 Imprimitive field

8.1 Construction of character

Let e_0 be the positive integer such that $e_0 \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and e/e_0 is odd.

Lemma 8.1. *Assume $p \neq 2$. Then we have* $\operatorname{Tr} \tau_{\psi_0}\bigl(\mathrm{Fr}(2e_0)\bigr) = p^{e_0}$.

Proof. For $a \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$ and $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e_0}}$ such that $a^p - a = b^{p^e+1}$, we have that

$$a^{p^{2e_0}} - a = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e_0}}/\mathbb{F}_p}(b^{p^e+1}). \tag{8.1}$$

By (8.1) and the Lefschetz trace formula, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\, \tau_{\psi_0}\bigl(\mathrm{Fr}(2e_0)\bigr) &= -\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e_0}}} (\psi_0 \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{e_0}}/\mathbb{F}_p})\bigl(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e_0}}/\mathbb{F}_{p^{e_0}}}(b^{p^{e_0}+1})\bigr) \\ &= -1 - (p^{e_0}+1) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e_0}}^{\times}} (\psi_0 \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{e_0}}/\mathbb{F}_p})(x) = p^{e_0} \end{aligned}$$

using $(p^e+1, p^{2e_0}-1) = p^{e_0}+1$. ☐

Let n_0 be the biggest integer such that 2^{n_0} divides $p^{e_0}+1$. We take $r \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$ such that $r^{2^{n_0}} = -1$. We define a subgroup R_0 of Q_0 by

$$R_0 = \{g(1,b,c) \in Q_0 \mid b^{p^e} - rb = 0\}.$$

Lemma 8.2. 1. *If $p \neq 2$, the action of $2e_0\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ on Q stabilizes R_0.*
2. *If $p = 2$, the action of $\boldsymbol{g}$ on $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ by the conjugation stabilizes R_0.*

Proof. The first claim follows from $r^{p^{2e_0}-1} = 1$. We can see the second claim easily using (2.10). ☐

We put

$$Q' = \begin{cases} Q_0 \rtimes (2e_0\mathbb{Z}), \\ Q_0 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases} \qquad R = \begin{cases} R_0 \rtimes (2e_0\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ R_0 \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{g} \rangle & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}$$

as subgroups of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, which are well-defined by Lemma 8.2. We are going to construct a character ϕ_n of R in this subsection. Then, we will show that $\tau_n|_{Q'} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_R^{Q'} \phi_n$ in the next subsection.

First, we consider the case where p is odd. We define a homomorphism $\phi_n \colon R \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n\bigl((g(1,b,c),0)\bigr) &= \psi_0\Biggl(c - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (rb^2)^{p^i}\Biggr) \quad \text{for } g(1,b,c) \in R_0, \\ \phi_n\bigl(\mathrm{Fr}(2e_0)\bigr) &= (-1)^{ne_0\frac{p-1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ_n extends the character ψ_0 of F.

Next, we consider the case where $p = 2$. We define a group R_0' as

$$R_0' = \Bigl\{ g(b,c) \Bigm| b \in \mathbb{F}_2,\ c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{2e}},\ c^{2^e} - c = b \Bigr\}$$

with the multiplication given by

$$g(b_1,c_1) \cdot g(b_2,c_2) = g(b_1+b_2, c_1+c_2+b_1b_2).$$

We define $\phi \colon R_0 \to R_0'$ by

$$\phi(g(1,b,c)) = g\Biggl(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}(b), c + \sum_{0 \le i < j \le e-1} b^{2^i+2^j}\Biggr) \quad \text{for } g(1,b,c) \in R_0.$$

Let F' be the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_{2^e} \to \mathbb{F}_2;\ c \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}\bigl((b_0 + b_0^{2^e})c\bigr).$$

We put $R_0'' = R_0'/F'$, where we consider F' as a subgroup of R_0' by $c \mapsto g(0,c)$. Then R_0'' is a cyclic group of order 4. We write $\bar{g}(b,c)$ for the image of $g(b,c) \in R_0'$ under the projection $R_0' \to R_0''$. Let $\phi' \colon R_0 \to R_0''$ be the composite of ϕ and the projection $R_0' \to R_0''$. We put

$$s = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} b_0^{2^i}, \quad t = b_0 + b_0^{2^e}.$$

Let $\tilde{\psi}_0 \colon R_0'' \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the faithful character satisfying

$$\tilde{\psi}_0\left(\bar{g}\left(1, s^2 + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq e-1} t^{2^i + 2^j}\right)\right) = -\sqrt{-1}.$$

We define a homomorphism $\phi_n \colon R \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\phi_n\bigl((g(1,b,c),0)\bigr) = (\tilde{\psi}_0 \circ \phi')(g(1,b,c)) \quad \text{for} \ \ g(1,b,c) \in R_0,$$
$$\phi_n(\boldsymbol{g}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-2)}{8}} \frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{-2}}{2}.$$

Then ϕ_n extends the character ψ_0 of F.

8.2 Induction of character

Lemma 8.3. *We have* $\tau_n|_{Q'} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_R^{Q'} \phi_n$.

Proof. We know that $\tau_n|_{Q_0} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{R_0}^{Q_0} \phi_n$. First, we consider the case where p is odd. The claim for general f follows from the claim for $f = 1$ by the restriction. Hence, we may assume that $f = 1$. We have a decomposition

$$\tau_n|_{R_0} = \bigoplus_{\tilde{\psi} \in R_0^\vee,\, \tilde{\psi}|_F = \psi_0} \tilde{\psi}. \tag{8.2}$$

We write $\tilde{\psi}_n$ for $\phi_n|_{R_0}$. We put $\overline{R}_0 = \{b \in k^{\mathrm{ac}} \mid b^{p^e} - rb = 0\}$. The $\tilde{\psi}_n$-component in (8.2) is the unique component that is stable by the action of $(g(1,0,0), 2e_0)$, since the homomorphism

$$\overline{R}_0 \to \overline{R}_0;\ b \mapsto b^{p^{2e_0}} - b$$

is an isomorphism. Hence, we have a non-trivial homomorphism $\phi_n \to \tau_n|_R$ by Lemma 8.1. Therefore we obtain the claim.

Next we consider the case where $p = 2$. Then it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ind}_R^{Q'} \phi_n)(\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}) = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n-2)}{8}} \sqrt{2}$$

by Lemma 2.5. We have a decomposition

$$(\mathrm{Ind}_R^{Q'} \phi_n)|_{R_0} = \bigoplus_{\phi \in R_0^\vee,\, \phi|_F = \psi_0} \phi. \tag{8.3}$$

Let $\tilde{\psi}'_n$ be the twist of $\tilde{\psi}_n$ by the character

$$R_0 \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times;\ g(1,b,c) \mapsto \psi_0\bigl(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}(b)\bigr).$$

Then only the $\tilde{\psi}_n$-component and the $\tilde{\psi}'_n$-component in (8.2) are stable by the action of $(g(1,b_0,c_0),1)$, since the image of the homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_{2^e} \to \mathbb{F}_{2^e};\ b \mapsto b^2 - b$$

is equal to $\operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}$. The action of $\mathrm{Fr}(e)$ permutes the $\tilde{\psi}_n$-component and the $\tilde{\psi}'_n$-component. Hence, $\boldsymbol{g}$ acts on the $\tilde{\psi}'_n$-component by $\phi_n(\boldsymbol{g})$ times

$$\phi_n\Bigl(\mathrm{Fr}(e)^{-1}\boldsymbol{g}\,\mathrm{Fr}(e)\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}\Bigr) = \phi_n\biggl(\Bigl(g\bigl(1,t,c_0+c_0^{2^e}+\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(b_0^{2^e+1}+b_0^{2^{e+1}})^{2^i}\bigr),0\Bigr)\biggr) = \sqrt{-1}.$$

Hence we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Ind}_R^{Q'}\phi_n)(\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}) = \bigl(1-\sqrt{-1}\bigr)\phi_n(\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}) = -(-1)^{\frac{n(n-2)}{8}}\sqrt{2}.$$

□

We set $T_\zeta = E_\zeta(\alpha_\zeta)$, $M_\zeta = T_\zeta(\beta_\zeta)$ and $N_\zeta = M_\zeta(\gamma_\zeta)$. Let f_0 be the positive integer such that $f_0 \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and f/f_0 is odd. We put

$$N = \begin{cases} 2e_0/f_0 & \text{if } p \neq 2 \text{ and } f_0 \mid 2e_0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let K^{ur} be the maximal unramified extension of K in K^{ac}. Let $K^{\mathrm{u}} \subset K^{\mathrm{ur}}$ be the unramified extension of degree N over K. Let k_N be the residue field of K^{u}. For a finite field extension L of K in K^{ac}, we write L^{u} for the composite field of L and K^{u} in K^{ac}. For $a \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$, we write $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{O}_{K^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ for the Teichmüller lift of a. We put

$$\delta'_\zeta = \begin{cases} \beta_\zeta^{p^e} - \hat{r}\beta_\zeta, \\ \beta_\zeta^{2^e} - \beta_\zeta + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1}\hat{b}_0^{2^i}, \end{cases} \qquad \varepsilon_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\delta_\zeta'^{p^e} - \hat{r}^{-1}\delta'_\zeta \equiv -\alpha_\zeta^{-1} + \varepsilon_1 \mod \mathfrak{p}_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}(\delta'_\zeta)}$. We take $\delta_\zeta \in T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}(\delta'_\zeta)$ such that

$$\delta_\zeta^{p^e} - \hat{r}^{-1}\delta_\zeta = -\alpha_\zeta^{-1} + \varepsilon_1, \quad \delta_\zeta \equiv \delta'_\zeta \mod \mathfrak{p}_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}(\delta'_\zeta)}. \tag{8.4}$$

We put $M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}} = T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}(\delta_\zeta)$. Let $\xi_{n,\zeta} \colon W_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the composite of the restrictions $\Theta_\zeta|_{W_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}}$ and $\phi_n|_R$. By the local class field theory, we regard $\xi_{n,\zeta}$ as a character of $M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}\times}$.

Proposition 8.4. *We have* $\tau_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}\xi_{n,\zeta}$.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 8.3. □

Since $\tau_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}}$ is not primitive as in Proposition 8.4, we call T_ζ^{u} an imprimitive field.

Remark 8.5. *Our imprimitive field is different from that in [BH14, 5.1]. In our case,* T_ζ^{u} *need not be normal over* K*. This choice is technically important in our proof of the main result.*

8.3 Study of character

Assume that $\operatorname{ch} K = p$ and $f = 1$ in this subsection.

8.3.1 Odd case

Assume $p \neq 2$ in this subsubsection. We put

$$\theta_\zeta = \gamma_\zeta + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(r\beta_\zeta^2)^{p^i}.$$

Then we have

$$\theta_\zeta^p - \theta_\zeta = \beta_\zeta^{p^e+1} - \frac{1}{2r}(\beta_\zeta^{2p^e} + r^2\beta_\zeta^2) = -\frac{1}{2r}(\beta_\zeta^{2p^e} - 2r\beta_\zeta^{p^e+1} + r^2\beta_\zeta^2) = -\frac{1}{2r}\delta_\zeta^2.$$

We put $N_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}} = M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}(\theta_\zeta)$. Let $\xi'_{n,\zeta}$ be the twist of $\xi_{n,\zeta}$ by the character

$$W_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}} \to \mathbb{C}^\times;\ \sigma \mapsto (-1)^{n\frac{p-1}{2}\frac{n_\sigma}{2}}.$$

Lemma 8.6. *If $p \neq 2$, then $\xi'_{n,\zeta}$ factors through* $\mathrm{Gal}(N_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}})$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ker}\xi'_{n,\zeta}$. Then we have $g(\bar{a}_\sigma, \bar{b}_\sigma, \bar{c}_\sigma) \in R_0$ and

$$\bar{c}_\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(r\bar{b}_\sigma^2)^{p^i} = 0.$$

Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\theta_\zeta) - \theta_\zeta &= c_\sigma - \sum_{i=0}^{e-1}\bigl(rb_\sigma(\beta_\zeta + b_\sigma)\bigr)^{p^i} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}\Bigl(r\bigl((\beta_\zeta + b_\sigma)^2 - \beta_\zeta^2\bigr)\Bigr)^{p^i} \\ &= c_\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(rb_\sigma^2)^{p^i} = 0\end{aligned}$$

by (2.6). This implies the claim. □

8.3.2 Even case

Assume $p = 2$ in this subsubsection. Let $\xi'_{n,\zeta}$ be the twist of $\xi_{n,\zeta}$ by the character

$$W_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}} \to \mathbb{C}^\times;\ \sigma \mapsto \left((-1)^{\frac{n(n-2)}{8}}\frac{-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{-2}}{2}\right)^{n_\sigma}.$$

We take $b_1, b_2 \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$ such that

$$b_1^2 - b_1 = s, \quad b_2^2 - b_2 = t\Bigl(b_1^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(b_1 s)^{2^i}\Bigr).$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta_\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1}\beta_\zeta^{2^i} + b_1, \quad \gamma'_\zeta = \gamma_\zeta + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq e-1}\beta_\zeta^{2^i+2^j}, \\ &\theta'_\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(t\gamma'_\zeta)^{2^i} + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq e-2} t^{2^i}(\delta_\zeta\eta_\zeta)^{2^j} + \sum_{0 \leq j < i \leq e-1} t^{2^i}(b_1\delta_\zeta + s\eta_\zeta)^{2^j} + b_1^2\eta_\zeta + b_2.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.7. *We have* $\eta_\zeta^2-\eta_\zeta=\delta_\zeta$ *and* $\theta_\zeta'^2-\theta'_\zeta=(\delta_\zeta\eta_\zeta)^{2^{e-1}}$.

Proof. We can check the first claim easily. We show the second claim. We have

$$P_2(\gamma'_\zeta)=(\beta_\zeta^{2^e}-\beta_\zeta)\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}\beta_\zeta^{p^i}+\beta_\zeta^2=(\delta_\zeta-s)(\eta_\zeta-b_1)+\beta_\zeta^2. \tag{8.5}$$

Hence, we have

$$P_{2^e}(\gamma'_\zeta)=\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}\bigl\{(\delta_\zeta-s)(\eta_\zeta-b_1)\bigr\}^{2^i}+(\eta_\zeta-b_1)^2. \tag{8.6}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_\zeta'^2-\theta'_\zeta=t\biggl\{\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}\bigl\{(\delta_\zeta-s)(\eta_\zeta-b_1)\bigr\}^{2^i}+\eta_\zeta^2+b_1^2\biggr\}+(\delta_\zeta\eta_\zeta)^{2^{e-1}}+b_1\delta_\zeta+s\eta_\zeta\\ -t\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(\delta_\zeta\eta_\zeta+b_1\delta_\zeta+s\eta_\zeta)^{2^i}+t\eta_\zeta^2+b_2^2-b_2=(\delta_\zeta\eta_\zeta)^{2^{e-1}}\end{aligned}$$

using (8.6) and $\eta_\zeta^2-\eta_\zeta=\delta_\zeta$. □

We take $\theta_\zeta\in K^{\mathrm{ac}}$ such that $\theta'_\zeta=\theta_\zeta^{2^{e-1}}$. Then we have $\theta_\zeta^2-\theta_\zeta=\delta_\zeta\eta_\zeta$. We put $N_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}=M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}(\eta_\zeta,\theta_\zeta)$.

Lemma 8.8. *The character* $\xi'_{n,\zeta}$ *factors through* $\mathrm{Gal}(N_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}})$.

Proof. Let $\sigma\in\mathrm{Ker}\,\xi'_{n,\zeta}$. We take $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in\mathrm{Ker}\,\xi'_{n,\zeta}$ such that $\sigma=\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-n_\sigma}$, $\sigma_1\in I_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}$ and $\Theta_\zeta(\sigma_2)=(g(1,b_0,c_0),-1)$. Here $I_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}$ denotes the inertia subgroup of $W_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}$. Then we have $g(\bar{a}_{\sigma_1},\bar{b}_{\sigma_1},\bar{c}_{\sigma_1})\in R_0$, $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\bar{b}_{\sigma_1})=0$ and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}\biggl(t\Bigl(\bar{c}_{\sigma_1}+\sum_{0\le i<j\le e-1}\bar{b}_{\sigma_1}^{2^i+2^j}\Bigr)\biggr)=0. \tag{8.7}$$

It suffices to show that $\sigma_i(\eta_\zeta)=\eta_\zeta$ and $\sigma_i(\theta'_\zeta)=\theta'_\zeta$ for $i=1,2$.

The equalities $\sigma_i(\eta_\zeta)=\eta_\zeta$ for $i=1,2$ follow from $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\beta_{\sigma_1})=0$ and $s=b_1^2-b_1$. By (2.6) and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{2^e}/\mathbb{F}_2}(\beta_{\sigma_1})=0$, we have

$$\sigma_1(\gamma_\zeta)-\gamma_\zeta+\sum_{0\le i<j\le e-1}\bigl(\sigma_1(\beta_\zeta)^{2^i+2^j}-\beta_\zeta^{2^i+2^j}\bigr)=\gamma_{\sigma_1}+\sum_{0\le i<j\le e-1}\beta_{\sigma_1}^{2^i+2^j}.$$

This and (8.7) implies $\sigma_1(\theta'_\zeta)=\theta'_\zeta$.

It remains to show $\sigma_2(\theta'_\zeta)=\theta'_\zeta$. Using (8.5), we see that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{e-1}(t\gamma'_\zeta)^{2^i}=\gamma'_\zeta+\sum_{1\le i\le j\le e-1}t^{2^j}\beta_\zeta^{2^i}+\sum_{0\le i<j\le e-1}t^{2^j}\bigl\{(\delta_\zeta-s)(\eta_\zeta-b_1)\bigr\}^{2^i}. \tag{8.8}$$

We put $\gamma''_\zeta=\gamma'_\zeta+\sum_{1\le i\le j\le e-1}t^{2^j}\beta_\zeta^{2^i}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2(\gamma''_\zeta)-\gamma''_\zeta&=c_0+s^2(\eta_\zeta-b_1)+\sum_{0\le i<j\le e-1}b_0^{2^i+2^j}+\sum_{1\le i<j\le e}b_0^{2^i}t^{2^j}\\ &=s^2(\eta_\zeta-b_1)+b_0^{2^e}+s^2+b_0t+(s-b_0)(1-t)=s^2\eta_\zeta+b_1s^2+st.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\sigma_2(\theta'_\zeta) - \theta'_\zeta$ can be written as $\sum_{i=0}^{2^{e-1}+2^{e-2}} d_i \eta_\zeta^i$, where $d_i \in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$. We have

$$d_0 = b_1 s^2 + st + t\sum_{j=1}^{e-1} (b_1 s)^{2^j} + b_1 s \sum_{l=1}^{e-1} t^{2^l} + b_2^2 - b_2 = 0.$$

This implies $\sigma_2(\theta'_\zeta) = \theta'_\zeta$, since we know that $\sigma_2(\theta'_\zeta) - \theta'_\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_2$ by Lemma 8.7. $\square$

9 Refined Swan conductor

Let $\widetilde{K} \subset K^{\mathrm{ur}}$ be the unramified extension of K^{u} generated by $\mu_{p^{4pe}-1}(K^{\mathrm{ur}})$. For a finite field extension L of K in K^{ac}, we write $\widetilde{L}$ for the composite field of L and $\widetilde{K}$ in K^{ac}. We write $\widetilde{M'_\zeta}$ for $\widetilde{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}$. Then $\widetilde{N}_\zeta$ is a Galois extension of $\widetilde{M'_\zeta}$. For a finite Galois extension E/F of non-archimedean local fields, let $\psi_{E/F}$ denote the inverse Herbrand function of E/F, and $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)_i$ denote the lower numbering i-th ramification subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$ for $i \geq 0$.

Lemma 9.1. 1. *We have*

$$\psi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta}}(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \leq 1, \\ p^e(v-1)+1 & \text{if } 1 < v \leq 2, \\ p^{e+1}(v-2)+p^e+1 & \text{if } 2 < v. \end{cases} \tag{9.1}$$

2. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta})_i = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta}) & \text{if } i \leq 1, \\ \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta) & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq p^e+1, \\ \{1\} & \text{if } p^e+2 \leq i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We easily see that

$$\psi_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta}}(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \leq 1, \\ p^e(v-1)+1 & \text{if } v > 1, \end{cases}$$
$$\psi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta}(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \leq p^e+1, \\ p(v-p^e-1)+p^e+1 & \text{if } v > p^e+1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the claim 1 follows from $\psi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta}} = \psi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta} \circ \psi_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta}}$. The claim 2 follows from the claim 1 and $\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta})_{p^e+1} \supset \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta)_{p^e+1} = \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta)$. $\square$

We set $\varpi_{\widetilde{M'_\zeta}} = \delta_\zeta^{-1}$, $\varpi_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta} = \beta_\zeta^{-1}$ and $\varpi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta} = (\gamma_\zeta \varpi_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta}^{p^{e-1}})^{-1}$. Then the elements $\varpi_{\widetilde{M'_\zeta}}$, $\varpi_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta}$ and $\varpi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta}$ are uniformizers of $\widetilde{M'_\zeta}$, $\widetilde{M}_\zeta$ and $\widetilde{N}_\zeta$ respectively. Let $\widetilde{k}$ be the residue field of $\widetilde{K}$.

Lemma 9.2. *We have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & U_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta}^{p^e+1}/U_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta}^{p^e+2} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Nr}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M'_\zeta}}"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & U_{\widetilde{M'_\zeta}}^2/U_{\widetilde{M'_\zeta}}^3 \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{F}_p \arrow[r] & \widetilde{k} \arrow[r, "P"] & \widetilde{k}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and each row is exact, where the maps i and P are given by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma(\varpi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta})/\varpi_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta}$ and $x \mapsto r(x^p - x)$ respectively, and the vertical maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}_p;\ \sigma \mapsto \overline{\sigma(\gamma_\zeta) - \gamma_\zeta},\\
&U^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta}/U^{p^e+2}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{k};\ 1 + x\varpi^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta} \mapsto -\bar{x},\\
&U^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}/U^3_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{k};\ 1 + x\varpi^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta} \mapsto \bar{x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The exactness follows from [Ser68, V, §6, Proposition 9] and Lemma 9.1. We have

$$i(\sigma) = \gamma_\zeta\sigma(\gamma_\zeta)^{-1} \equiv 1 - (\sigma(\gamma_\zeta) - \gamma_\zeta)\gamma_\zeta^{-1} \equiv 1 - (\sigma(\gamma_\zeta) - \gamma_\zeta)\varpi^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta} \mod U^{p^e+2}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta}$$

for $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta)$. Hence, the first commutativity follows. We take $\beta'_\zeta \in \widetilde{M}_\zeta$ such that

$$\beta'^{p^e}_\zeta - \hat{r}\beta'_\zeta = \delta_\zeta, \quad \beta'_\zeta \equiv \beta_\zeta \mod \mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta}.$$

Then we have $\widetilde{M}_\zeta = \widetilde{M}'_\zeta(\beta'_\zeta)$. The norm maps $\mathrm{Nr}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta}$ and $\mathrm{Nr}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}$ induce

$$\begin{aligned}
&U^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta}/U^{p^e+2}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta} \to U^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta}/U^{p^e+2}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta};\ 1 + u\varpi^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta} = 1 + u\gamma_\zeta^{-1} \mapsto 1 + (u^p - u)\varpi^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta},\\
&U^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta}/U^{p^e+2}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta} \to U^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}/U^3_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta};\ 1 + u\varpi^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{M}_\zeta} = 1 + u\beta'^{-1}_\zeta\varpi_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta} \mapsto 1 - \hat{r}u\varpi^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Hence, the second commutativity follows. □

For any finite extension M of K, we write ψ_M for the composite $\psi_K \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{M/K}$.

Lemma 9.3. *We have* $\mathrm{rsw}(\xi_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}}, \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}) = -n'\delta_\zeta^{-(p^e+1)} \mod U^1_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}$.

Proof. We put $\widetilde{\xi}_{n,\zeta} = \xi_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}}$, and regard it as a character of $\widetilde{M}'^{\times}_\zeta$. By (2.7), the restriction of $\widetilde{\xi}_{n,\zeta}$ to $U^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}$ is given by the composite

$$U^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta} \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} U^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}/\big(U^3_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}\mathrm{Nr}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}(U^{p^e+1}_{\widetilde{N}_\zeta})\big) \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{N}_\zeta/\widetilde{M}_\zeta) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}_\ell,$$

where the second isomorphism is the reciprocity map δ_2 in the notation of [Ser68, XV, §3, Proposition 4]. We note that our normalization of the Artin reciprocity map is inverse to that in [Ser68, XIII, §4]. By Lemma 9.2 and the construction of the map δ_2 in [Ser68, XV, §2, Proposition 3], we have

$$\widetilde{\xi}_{n,\zeta}(1 + u\varpi^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}) = \psi_0 \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\widetilde{k}/\mathbb{F}_p}(r^{-1}\bar{u}) \tag{9.2}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}$. Since we have $\overline{\mathrm{Tr}_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta/\widetilde{T}_\zeta}(\delta_\zeta^{p^e-1}u)} = -r^{-1}\bar{u}$ for $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{\xi}_{n,\zeta}(1 + x) = \psi_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}(-n'^{-1}\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1}x)$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{p}^2_{\widetilde{M}'_\zeta}$ by (9.2). This implies

$$\xi_{n,\zeta}(1 + x) = \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}(-n'^{-1}\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1}x) \tag{9.3}$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{p}^2_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}$, because $\mathrm{Tr}_{\widetilde{k}/k_N} \colon \widetilde{k} \to k_N$ is surjective. The claim follows from (9.3) and [BH06, 23.8 Stability theorem]. □

Lemma 9.4. *We have* $\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c},\psi_{E_\zeta}) = n'\varphi'_\zeta \mod U^1_{E_\zeta}$.

Proof. By [BH06, 48.1 Theorem (2)], we may assume that $\chi = 1$ and $c = 1$. By Proposition 8.4 and Lemma 9.3, we have

$$\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}},\psi_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}) = \mathrm{Nr}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta/T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}\bigl(\mathrm{rsw}(\xi_{n,\zeta},\psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta})\bigr) = n'\varphi'_\zeta \mod U^1_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}. \tag{9.4}$$

Since T^{u}_ζ is a tamely ramified extension of E_ζ, we have

$$\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta},\psi_{E_\zeta}) = \mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}},\psi_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}) \mod U^1_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta} \tag{9.5}$$

by [BH06, 48.1 Theorem (3)]. The claim follows from (9.4) and (9.5). ☐

Proposition 9.5. *We have* $\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c},\psi_K) = \mathrm{rsw}(\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c},\psi_K)$.

Proof. By $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c} = \mathrm{Ind}_{E_\zeta/K}\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}$, we have

$$\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c},\psi_K) = \mathrm{Nr}_{E_\zeta/K}\bigl(\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c},\psi_{E_\zeta})\bigr). \tag{9.6}$$

Hence, the claim follows from Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 9.4. ☐

Lemma 9.6. *We have* $\mathrm{Sw}(\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}) = 1$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 9.4 and (9.6). ☐

Lemma 9.7. *The representation $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. We know that the restriction of $\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}$ to the wild inertia subgroup of W_{E_ζ} is irreducible by Lemma 2.3. Assume that $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ is not irreducible. Then we have an irreducible factor τ' of $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ such that $\mathrm{Sw}(\tau') = 0$, by Lemma 9.6 and the additivity of Sw. Then, the restriction of τ' to the wild inertia subgroup of W_K is trivial by $\mathrm{Sw}(\tau') = 0$. On the other hand, we have an injective morphism $\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c} \to \tau'|_{W_{E_\zeta}}$ by the Frobenius reciprocity. This is a contradiction. ☐

Proposition 9.8. *The representation $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ is irreducible of Swan conductor* 1.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 9.6 and Lemma 9.7. ☐

10 Epsilon factor

10.1 Reduction to special cases

Proposition 10.1. *Let M be a finite extension of K, and M' be a tamely ramified finite extension of M. Let P_M denote the wild inertia subgroup of W_M. Let τ be a finite dimensional smooth representation of W_M such that $\tau|_{P_M}$ is irreducible and non-trivial. Then we have*

$$\epsilon(\tau|_{W_{M'}},\psi_{M'}) = \lambda(M'/M,\psi_M)^{-\dim\tau}\delta_{M'/M}(\mathrm{rsw}(\tau,\psi_M))\epsilon(\tau,\psi_M)^{[M':M]}.$$

Proof. This is proved by the same arguments as [BH06, 48.3 Proposition]. ☐

Lemma 10.2. *We have*

$$\lambda(E_\zeta/K,\psi_K)=\begin{cases}\left(\frac{q}{n'}\right) & \textit{if } n' \textit{ is odd,}\\ -\left\{\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{p+3}{2}}\left(\frac{2n'}{p}\right)\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{\frac{n'}{2}-1}\right\}^f & \textit{if } n' \textit{ is even,}\end{cases}$$

$$\lambda(T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}/E_\zeta,\psi_{E_\zeta})=\begin{cases}-(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)fN}{4}} & \textit{if } p\neq 2,\\ \left(\frac{q}{p^e+1}\right) & \textit{if } p=2.\end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$\lambda(T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}/E_\zeta,\psi_{E_\zeta})=\lambda(T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}/E_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{E_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})\lambda(E_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}/E_\zeta,\psi_{E_\zeta})^{p^e+1}=\lambda(T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}/E_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{E_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}).$$

The claims follow from this and Lemma 7.4. □

Lemma 10.3. *We have*

$$\lambda(M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=\begin{cases}(-1)^f & \textit{if } p=2 \textit{ and } e\leq 2,\\ \left(\frac{r}{k_N}\right) & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $K_{(0)}$ and $K_{(p)}$ be non-archimedean local fields of characteristic 0 and p respectively. Assume that the residue fields of $K_{(0)}$ and $K_{(p)}$ are isomorphic to k. We take uniformizers $\varpi_{(0)}$ and $\varpi_{(p)}$ of $K_{(0)}$ and $K_{(p)}$ respectively. We define $T_{\zeta,(0)}^{\mathrm{u}}$ similarly as T_ζ^{u} starting from $K_{(0)}$. We use similar notations also for other objects in the characteristic zero side and the positive characteristic side. We have the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{T_{\zeta,(p)}^{\mathrm{u}}}/\mathfrak{p}_{T_{\zeta,(p)}^{\mathrm{u}}}^2\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{T_{\zeta,(0)}^{\mathrm{u}}}/\mathfrak{p}_{T_{\zeta,(0)}^{\mathrm{u}}}^2;\ \xi_0+\xi_1\varpi_{T_{\zeta,(p)}^{\mathrm{u}}}\mapsto\hat{\xi}_0+\hat{\xi}_1\varpi_{T_{\zeta,(0)}^{\mathrm{u}}}$$

of algebras, where $\xi_0,\xi_1\in k$. Hence, it suffices to show the claim in one of the characteristic zero side and the positive characteristic side by [Del84, Proposition 3.7.1], since $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\zeta,(p)}'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_{\zeta,(p)}^{\mathrm{u}})^2=1$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(M_{\zeta,(0)}'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_{\zeta,(0)}^{\mathrm{u}})^2=1$.

First, we consider the case where $p\neq 2$. Then, we have $d_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}=\hat{r}$ by Proposition 5.2. Hence, we have $\lambda(M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=\left(\frac{r}{k_N}\right)$ by [Hen84, Proposition 2].

We consider the case where $p=2$. Assume that $e\geq 3$ and $\mathrm{ch}\,K=0$. Then we have

$$\lambda(M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=\epsilon(\mathrm{Ind}_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}1,\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=1$$

by Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 5.2.

Assume that $e=2$ and $\mathrm{ch}\,K=2$. Then we see that $d^+_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}=1$ by Definition 5.3. Hence, $\delta_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}$ is the unramified character satisfying $\delta_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}(\varpi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=(-1)^f$ by Theorem 5.4. Then we see that

$$\lambda(M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=\epsilon(\mathrm{Ind}_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}1,\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=\epsilon(\delta_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}\oplus 1^{\oplus 3},\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=(-1)^f,$$

where we use Theorem 5.1 at the second equality.

If $e=1$, then we have

$$\lambda(M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}},\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=\epsilon(\kappa_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}},\psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}})=(-1)^f$$

by [BH06, (23.6.2) and (23.6.4)] and [Ser68, XV, §3, Proposition 4], where $\kappa_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}$ is the quadratic character associated to the extension $M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}$ over T_ζ^{u}. □

Lemma 10.4. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}(\delta_{\zeta}^{i}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } 1 \leq i \leq p^e - 2, \\ \hat{r}^{-1}(p^e - 1) & \textit{if } i = p^e - 1. \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Vanishing for $1 \leq i \leq p^e - 2$ follows from (8.4). We have also

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}(\delta_{\zeta}^{p^e-1}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}\Big(\hat{r}^{-1} + \delta_{\zeta}^{-1}(-\alpha_{\zeta}^{-1} + \varepsilon_1)\Big) = \hat{r}^{-1}(p^e - 1)$$

by (8.4). ☐

Lemma 10.5. *We have*

$$\delta_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E_{\zeta}}\big(\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{E_{\zeta}})\big) = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } p \neq 2, \\ \big(\frac{q}{p^e+1}\big) & \textit{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $p = 2$, the claim follows from Lemma 7.3.1 and Lemma 9.4, since T^{u}_{ζ} is totally ramified over E_{ζ}.

Assume that $p \neq 2$. Then we have $d_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}} = (-1)^{(p^e+1)/2}\varphi'_{\zeta}$ by Proposition 5.2. Hence, we have $\delta_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}\big((-1)^{(p^e-1)/2}\varphi'_{\zeta}\big) = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\delta_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E_{\zeta}}\big(\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{E_{\zeta}})\big) = \delta_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}(n'\varphi'_{\zeta}) = \delta_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}(n'(-1)^{\frac{p^e-1}{2}}) = \left(\frac{n'(-1)^{\frac{p^e-1}{2}}}{q^N}\right) = 1$$

by [Del73, Proposition 1.2] and Lemma 9.4. ☐

Lemma 10.6. *Assume that $n = p^e$. Then we have $\epsilon(\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}, \psi_K) \equiv \epsilon(\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}, \psi_K) \mod \mu_{p^e}(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let π be the representation of $GL_n(K)$ corresponding to $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ by the local Langlands correspondence. By the proof of [BH14, 2.2 Proposition], Proposition 7.6 and Proposition 9.5, we have $\pi \simeq \text{c-Ind}_{L_{\zeta}^{\times}U_{\mathfrak{J}}^{1}}^{GL_n(K)}\Lambda$ for a character $\Lambda\colon L_{\zeta}^{\times}U_{\mathfrak{J}}^{1} \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ which coincides with $\Lambda_{\zeta,\chi,c}$ on $K^{\times}U_{\mathfrak{J}}^{1}$. Then, the claim follows from [BH14, 2.2 Lemma (1)], because $L_{\zeta}^{\times}U_{\mathfrak{J}}^{1}/(K^{\times}U_{\mathfrak{J}}^{1})$ is the cyclic group of order p^e. ☐

Proposition 10.7. *We have $\epsilon(\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c}, \psi_K) = \epsilon(\pi_{\zeta,\chi,c}, \psi_K)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 and $\tau_{\zeta,\chi,c} \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_{E_{\zeta}/K}\,\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}$, it suffices to show that

$$\lambda(E_{\zeta}/K, \psi_K)^{p^e}\epsilon(\tau_{n,\zeta,\chi,c}, \psi_{E_{\zeta}}) = (-1)^{n-1+\varepsilon_0 f}\chi(n')c.$$

By Lemma 9.4, we may assume $\chi = 1$ and $c = 1$. By (2.4), Lemma 9.4 and Lemma 10.2, we may assume that $n = p^e$. By Lemma 10.2 and Lemma 10.6, it suffices to show that

$$\epsilon(\tau_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{E_{\zeta}})^{N(p^e+1)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ (-1)^{1+\varepsilon_0 f} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 10.1, we have

$$\epsilon(\tau_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{E_{\zeta}})^{N(p^e+1)} = \delta_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E_{\zeta}}\big(\mathrm{rsw}(\tau_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{E_{\zeta}})\big)^{-1}\lambda(T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}/E_{\zeta}, \psi_{E_{\zeta}})^{p^e}\epsilon(\tau_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}}, \psi_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}).$$

By this, Lemma 10.2 and Lemma 10.5, it suffices to show that

$$\epsilon(\tau_{n,\zeta}|_{W_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}}, \psi_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta}}) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)fN}{4}} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ (-1)^{1+\varepsilon_0 f}\big(\frac{q}{p^e+1}\big) & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

This follows from Lemma 10.3 and Proposition 10.8. ☐

Proposition 10.8. *Assume that* $n = p^e$*. Then we have*

$$\epsilon(\xi_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)fN}{4}}\left(\frac{r}{k_N}\right) & \textit{if } p \neq 2, \\ (-1)^{1+\varepsilon_0 f} & \textit{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, we reduce the problem to the positive characteristic case. Assume that $\mathrm{ch}\, K = 0$. Take a positive characteristic local field $K_{(p)}$ whose residue field is isomorphic to k. We define $M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,(p)}$ similarly as M'^{u}_ζ starting from $K_{(p)}$. We use similar notations also for other objects in the positive characteristic side. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,(p)}}/\mathfrak{p}^3_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,(p)}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}/\mathfrak{p}^3_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta};\ \xi_0 + \xi_1\varpi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,(p)}} + \xi_2\varpi^2_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,(p)}} \mapsto \hat{\xi}_0 + \hat{\xi}_1\varpi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta} + \hat{\xi}_2\varpi^2_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}$$

of algebras, where $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in k$. Hence, the problem is reduced to the positive characteristic case by [Del84, Proposition 3.7.1].

We may assume $K = \mathbb{F}_q((t))$. We put $K_{\langle 1\rangle} = \mathbb{F}_p((t))$. We define $M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}$ similarly as M'^{u}_ζ starting from $K_{\langle 1\rangle}$. We use similar notations also for other objects in the $K_{\langle 1\rangle}$-case. We put $f' = [M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta : M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}]$. We have $\delta_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta/M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}}(\mathrm{rsw}(\xi_{n,\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}, \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}})) = (-1)^{f'-1}$ by Lemma 9.3. We have $\lambda(M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta/M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}, \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}}) = 1$, since the level of $\psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}}$ is $2 - p^e$ by Lemma 10.4. Then, we obtain

$$\epsilon(\xi_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}) = (-1)^{f'-1}\epsilon(\xi_{n,\zeta,\langle 1\rangle}, \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_{\zeta,(1)}})^{f'} \tag{10.1}$$

by Proposition 10.1. By (10.1), the problem is reduced to the case where $f = 1$. In this case, the claim follows from Lemma 10.12 and Lemma 10.16. □

10.2 Special cases

We assume that $n = p^e$, $\mathrm{ch}\, K = p$ and $f = 1$ in this subsection.

10.2.1 Odd case

Assume that $p \neq 2$ in this subsubsection.

Lemma 10.9. *We have* $\psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}\big(-\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1}(1 + x\varpi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta})\big) = 1$ *for* $x \in k_N$*.*

Proof. For $x \in k_N$, we have

$$\psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}\big(-\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1}(1 + x\varpi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta})\big) = \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}\big(-(r^{-1}\delta_\zeta - \alpha_\zeta^{-1})(\delta_\zeta + x)\big) = \psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}(-r^{-1}\delta_\zeta^2),$$

because $\mathrm{Tr}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta/T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}(\delta_\zeta) = 0$. If $p^e \neq 3$, then we have the claim, because $\mathrm{Tr}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta/T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}(\delta_\zeta^2) = 0$.

We assume that $p^e = 3$. Then we have

$$\psi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}\big(-r^{-1}\delta_\zeta^2\big) = \psi_{T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}\big(-2r^{-2}\big) = \psi_0\big(\mathrm{Tr}_{k_N/\mathbb{F}_p}(-2r^{-2})\big) = \psi_0\big(-N\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}/\mathbb{F}_p}(r^{-2})\big) = 1,$$

by $\mathrm{Tr}_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta/T^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}(\delta_\zeta^2) = 2r^{-1}$ and $r^4 = -1$. □

Lemma 10.10. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Nr}_{N'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta/M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}(1 + x\theta_\zeta^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\varpi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}) \equiv 1 + (-2r)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}x^p\varpi_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta} + \frac{x^2}{2}\varpi^2_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta} \mod \mathfrak{p}^3_{M'^{\mathrm{u}}_\zeta}$$

for $x \in k_N$*.*

Proof. We put $T = 1 + x\theta_\zeta^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}$. By $\theta_\zeta^p - \theta_\zeta = (-2r)^{-1}\delta_\zeta^2$, we have

$$\theta_\zeta = -\frac{1}{2r}\delta_\zeta^2 \Bigl(\bigl(x^{-1}(T-1)\delta_\zeta\bigr)^2 - 1 \Bigr)^{-1}.$$

Substituting this to $x^{-1}(T-1)\delta_\zeta = \theta_\zeta^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$, we have

$$\bigl(T^2 - 2T + 1 - x^2\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}^2\bigr)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(T-1) - (-2r)^{\frac{1-p}{2}} x^p \varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}} = 0.$$

The claim follows from this. □

Lemma 10.11. *We have*

$$\sum_{x \in k_N} \xi_{n,\zeta}(1 + x\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}})^{-1} = -((-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p)^{e_0}\Bigl(\frac{r}{k_N}\Bigr).$$

Proof. We note that the left hand side of the claim does not change even if we replace $\xi_{n,\zeta}$ by $\xi'_{n,\zeta}$. By Lemma 8.6 and Lemma 10.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x \in k_N} \xi'_{n,\zeta}(1 + x\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}})^{-1} &= \sum_{x \in k_N} \xi'_{n,\zeta}\bigl(1 + (-2r)^{\frac{1-p}{2}} x^p \varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}\bigr)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{x \in k_N} \xi'_{n,\zeta}\Bigl(1 - \frac{x^2}{2}\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}^2\Bigr)^{-1} = \sum_{x \in k_N} \psi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}\Bigl(-\frac{x^2}{2}\delta_\zeta^{p^e-1}\Bigr), \qquad (10.2)\end{aligned}$$

where we use (9.3) at the last equality. The last expression in (10.2) is equal to

$$\sum_{x \in k_N} \psi_{T_\zeta^{\mathrm{u}}}\bigl(-(2r)^{-1}(p^e-1)x^2\bigr) = \sum_{x \in k_N} \psi_0(\mathrm{Tr}_{k_N/\mathbb{F}_p}(rx^2)) = -\bigl((-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p\bigr)^{e_0}\Bigl(\frac{r}{k_N}\Bigr)$$

by Lemma 10.4. □

Lemma 10.12. *We have* $\epsilon(\xi_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}) = -(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)e_0}{2}}\bigl(\frac{r}{k_N}\bigr)$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(\xi_{n,\zeta}, \psi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}) &= p^{-e_0} \sum_{x \in k_N} \xi_{n,\zeta}\bigl(-\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1}(1 + x\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}})\bigr)^{-1} \psi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}\bigl(-\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1}(1 + x\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}})\bigr) \\ &= -(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)e_0}{2}}\Bigl(\frac{r}{k_N}\Bigr)\xi_{n,\zeta}(-\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1})^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

by [BH06, (23.6.2) and 23.6 Proposition], Lemma 10.9 and Lemma 10.11. Since

$$\mathrm{Nr}_{N_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}/M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}(\theta_\zeta^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}}) = (-2r)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}\varpi_{M_\zeta'^{\mathrm{u}}},$$

we have

$$\xi_{n,\zeta}(-\delta_\zeta^{p^e+1}) = \xi_{n,\zeta}(-(-2r)^{(p^e+1)\frac{1-p}{2}}) = \xi_{n,\zeta}(-(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}) = 1.$$

Thus, we have the claim. □

10.2.2 Even case

Assume that $p=2$ in this subsubsection.

Lemma 10.13. *We have* $\mathrm{Tr}_{M_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}/K}(\delta_\zeta^{2^e+1})=0$ *and*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{M_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}/K}(\delta_\zeta^{2^e})=\begin{cases}1 & \textit{if } e=1,\\ 0 & \textit{if } e\geq 2.\end{cases}$$

Proof. These follow from $\delta_\zeta^{2^e}-\delta_\zeta=\alpha_\zeta^{-1}+1$ and Lemma 10.12. ☐

Lemma 10.14. *We have* $\mathrm{Nr}_{N_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}/M_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}}(\theta_\zeta\delta_\zeta^{-1})=\delta_\zeta^{-1}$.

Proof. This follows from $\theta_\zeta^2-\theta_\zeta=\delta_\zeta\eta_\zeta$ and $\eta_\zeta^2-\eta_\zeta=\delta_\zeta$. ☐

Lemma 10.15. *The value* $\xi'_{n,\zeta}(1+\delta_\zeta^{-1})$ *is independent of* n.

Proof. We take $\sigma\in I_{M_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}}$ such that $\Theta_\zeta(\sigma)=\bigl(g(1,t,s^2),0\bigr)$. Then it suffices to show that $\sigma(\eta_\zeta)-\eta_\zeta=1$ and $\sigma(\theta_\zeta)-\theta_\zeta=\eta_\zeta$. We can check the first equality easily. To show the second equality, it suffices to show that $\sigma(\theta'_\zeta)-\theta'_\zeta=\eta_\zeta^{2^{e-1}}$. We have

$$\sigma(\gamma'_\zeta)-\gamma'_\zeta=\eta_\zeta-b_1+s^2+\sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq e-1}t^{2^i+2^j}.$$

Hence, by (8.8) and the definition of θ'_ζ, we can write $\sigma(\theta'_\zeta)-\theta'_\zeta$ as $\sum_{i=0}^{2^{e-1}}d_i\eta_\zeta^i$, where $d_i\in k^{\mathrm{ac}}$. By (8.8), we have

$$d_0=b_1+s^2+\sum_{0\leq i\leq j\leq e-1}t^{2^i+2^j}+\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq e-1}t^{2^i+2^j}+b_1^2=s+s^2+t=0.$$

This implies $\sigma(\theta'_\zeta)-\theta'_\zeta=\eta_\zeta^{2^{e-1}}$, since we know that $\sigma(\theta'_\zeta)-\theta'_\zeta-\eta_\zeta^{2^{e-1}}\in\mathbb{F}_2$ by Lemma 8.7 and $\sigma(\eta_\zeta)-\eta_\zeta=1$. ☐

Lemma 10.16. *We have*

$$\epsilon(\xi'_{n,\zeta},\psi_{M_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}})=\begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{-2}}{2} & \textit{if } e=1,\\ \frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{-2}}{2} & \textit{if } e\geq 2.\end{cases}$$

Proof. By [BH06, (23.6.2) and (23.6.4)], (9.3), Lemma 10.4, Lemma 10.13 and Lemma 10.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(\xi'_{n,\zeta},\psi_{M_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}})&=2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_2}\xi'_{n,\zeta}\bigl(\delta_\zeta^{2^e+1}(1+x\delta_\zeta^{-1})\bigr)^{-1}\psi_{M_\zeta^{\prime\mathrm{u}}}\bigl(\delta_\zeta^{2^e+1}(1+x\delta_\zeta^{-1})\bigr)\\&=\begin{cases}2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\bigl(1-\xi'_{n,\zeta}(1+\delta_\zeta^{-1})^{-1}\bigr) & \text{if } e=1,\\ 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}\bigl(1+\xi'_{n,\zeta}(1+\delta_\zeta^{-1})^{-1}\bigr) & \text{if } e\geq 2.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{10.3}$$

By Lemma 10.15, it suffices to show the claim in the case $e=1$. Assume that $e=1$. Then we know the equality in the claim modulo $\mu_2(\mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 10.6. Hence it suffices to show the equality of the real parts. This follows from (10.3). ☐

A Realization in cohomology of Artin-Schreier variety

We realize τ_n in the cohomology of an Artin-Schreier variety. Let ν_{n-2} be the quadratic form on $\mathbb{A}^{n-2}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}$ defined by

$$\nu_{n-2}\big((y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big) = -\frac{1}{n'} \sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n-2} y_i y_j.$$

Let X be the smooth affine variety over k^{ac} defined by

$$x^p - x = y^{p^e+1} + \nu_{n-2}\big((y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big) \text{ in } \mathbb{A}^n_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}.$$

We define a right action of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ on X by

$$\begin{gathered}(x, y, (y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2})(g(a,b,c),0) = \left(x + \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (by)^{p^i} + c, a(y+b^{p^e}), (a^{\frac{p^e+1}{2}} y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\right), \\ (x, y, (y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}) \operatorname{Fr}(1) = (x^p, y^p, (y_i^p)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}).\end{gathered}$$

We consider the morphism

$$\pi_{n-2} \colon \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}};\ (y, (y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}) \mapsto y^{p^e+1} + \nu_{n-2}\big((y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big).$$

Then we have a decomposition

$$H^{n-1}_{\mathrm{c}}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \cong \bigoplus_{\psi\in\mathbb{F}_p^\vee\setminus\{1\}} H^{n-1}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^*_{n-2}\mathcal{L}_\psi) \tag{A.1}$$

as $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ representations. Let ρ_n be the representation over $\mathbb{C}$ of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$H^{n-1}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^*_{n-2}\mathcal{L}_{\psi_0})\Big(\frac{n-1}{2}\Big)$$

and ι, where $\big(\frac{n-1}{2}\big)$ means the twist by the character $(g(a,b,c),m) \mapsto p^{m(n-1)/2}$.

Lemma A.1. *If $p \neq 2$, then we have $\det \nu_{n-2} = -(-2n')^n \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times/(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2$.*

Proof. This is an easy calculation. ☐

Proposition A.2. *We have $\tau_n \simeq \rho_n$.*

Proof. Let Y be the smooth affine variety over k^{ac} defined by

$$x^p - x = \nu_{n-2}\big((y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big) \text{ in } \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}.$$

We define a right action of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ on Y by

$$\begin{gathered}\big(x, (y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big)\big(g(a,b,c),0\big) = \big(x, (a^{\frac{p^e+1}{2}} y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big), \\ \big(x, (y_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big) \operatorname{Fr}(1) = \big(x^p, (y_i^p)_{1\leq i\leq n-2}\big).\end{gathered}$$

Using the action of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ on Y, we can define an action of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ on $H^{n-2}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^{n-2}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \nu^*_{n-2}\mathcal{L}_{\psi_0})$. Then we have

$$H^{n-1}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^*_{n-2}\mathcal{L}_{\psi_0}) \cong H^1_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^1_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \pi^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi_0}) \otimes H^{n-2}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{A}^{n-2}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \nu^*_{n-2}\mathcal{L}_{\psi_0}) \tag{A.2}$$

by the Kunneth formula, where the isomorphism is compatible with the actions of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. By (A.2), it suffices to show the action of $Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ on

$$H_{\mathrm{c}}^{n-2}(\mathbb{A}^{n-2}_{k^{\mathrm{ac}}}, \nu_{n-2}^{*}\mathcal{L}_{\psi_0})\Big(\frac{n-1}{2}\Big)$$

is equal to the character (2.4) via ι.

First, consider the case where $p \neq 2$. The coincidence of the actions of Q follows from [DL98, Lemma 2.2.3]. We have

$$(-1)^{n-2} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{n-2}} \psi_0\big(\nu_{n-2}(\mathbf{y})\big) = \Big(\frac{-1}{p}\Big)\Big\{-\Big(\frac{-2n'}{p}\Big)\Big\}^{n} \sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p}^{\,n-2} \tag{A.3}$$

by Lemma A.1. The coincidence of the actions of $\mathrm{Fr}(1) \in Q \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ follows from [Del77, Sommes trig. Scholie 1.9] and (A.3).

If $p = 2$, the coincidence follows from [IT13, Proposition 4.5] and $\big(\frac{2}{n-1}\big) = (-1)^{n(n-2)/8}$. $\square$

References

[AL16] M. Adrian and B. Liu. Some results on simple supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. *J. Number Theory*, 160:117–147, 2016.

[AS10] A. Abbes and T. Saito. Local Fourier transform and epsilon factors. *Compos. Math.*, 146(6):1507–1551, 2010.

[BF83] C. J. Bushnell and A. Fröhlich. *Gauss sums and p-adic division algebras*, volume 987 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.

[BH99] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. Local tame lifting for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. II. Wildly ramified supercuspidals. *Astérisque*, (254):vi+105, 1999.

[BH05a] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, I. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18(3):685–710, 2005.

[BH05b] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, II: Totally ramified representations. *Compos. Math.*, 141(4):979–1011, 2005.

[BH06] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. *The local Langlands conjecture for* GL(2), volume 335 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[BH10] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. The essentially tame local Langlands correspondence, III: the general case. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 101(2):497–553, 2010.

[BH14] C. J. Bushnell and G. Henniart. Langlands parameters for epipelagic representations of GL_n. *Math. Ann.*, 358(1-2):433–463, 2014.

[BK93] C. J. Bushnell and P. C. Kutzko. *The admissible dual of* $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ *via compact open subgroups*, volume 129 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[BM85] A.-M. Bergé and J. Martinet. Formes quadratiques et extensions en caractéristique 2. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 35(2):57–77, 1985.

[Del73] P. Deligne. Les constantes des équations fonctionnelles des fonctions L. In *Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 501–597. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 349. Springer, Berlin, 1973.

[Del76] P. Deligne. Les constantes locales de l'équation fonctionnelle de la fonction L d'Artin d'une représentation orthogonale. *Invent. Math.*, 35:299–316, 1976.

[Del77] P. Deligne. *Cohomologie étale*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier.

[Del84] P. Deligne. Les corps locaux de caractéristique p, limites de corps locaux de caractéristique 0. In *Representations of reductive groups over a local field*, Travaux en Cours, pages 119–157. Hermann, Paris, 1984.

[DH81] P. Deligne and G. Henniart. Sur la variation, par torsion, des constantes locales d'équations fonctionnelles de fonctions L. *Invent. Math.*, 64(1):89–118, 1981.

[DL98] J. Denef and F. Loeser. Character sums associated to finite Coxeter groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(12):5047–5066, 1998.

[GJ72] R. Godement and H. Jacquet. *Zeta functions of simple algebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 260. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[Hen84] G. Henniart. Galois ε-factors modulo roots of unity. *Invent. Math.*, 78(1):117–126, 1984.

[HT01] M. Harris and R. Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, volume 151 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.

[IT12] N. Imai and T. Tsushima. Geometric realization of the local Langlands correspondence for representations of conductor three, 2012. arXiv:1205.0734.

[IT13] N. Imai and T. Tsushima. Affinoids in the Lubin-Tate perfectoid space and simple supercuspidal representations I: tame case, 2013. arXiv:1308.1276.

[IT14] N. Imai and T. Tsushima. Local Jacquet-Langlands correspondences for simple supercuspidal representations, 2014. arXiv:1412.3523, to appear in *Kyoto J. of Math.*

[IT16] N. Imai and T. Tsushima. Affinoids in the Lubin-Tate perfectoid space and simple supercuspidal representations II: wild case, 2016. arXiv:1603.04693.

[Kal15] T. Kaletha. Epipelagic L-packets and rectifying characters. *Invent. Math.*, 202(1):1–89, 2015.

[Koc77] H. Koch. Classification of the primitive representations of the Galois group of local fields. *Invent. Math.*, 40(2):195–216, 1977.

[Lau87] G. Laumon. Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (65):131–210, 1987.

[LRS93] G. Laumon, M. Rapoport, and U. Stuhler. $\mathscr{D}$-elliptic sheaves and the Langlands correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 113(2):217–338, 1993.

[RY14] M. Reeder and J.-K. Yu. Epipelagic representations and invariant theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(2):437–477, 2014.

[Sai95] T. Saito. Local constant of $\mathrm{Ind}_K^L 1$. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 70(4):507–515, 1995.

[Ser68] J.-P. Serre. *Corps locaux.* Hermann, Paris, 1968. Deuxième édition, Publications de l'Université de Nancago, No. VIII.

[Ser84] J.-P. Serre. L'invariant de Witt de la forme $\mathrm{Tr}(x^2)$. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 59(4):651–676, 1984.

[Tat79] J. Tate. Number theoretic background. In *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, pages 3–26. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

Naoki Imai
Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan
naoki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Takahiro Tsushima
Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Science, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage, Chiba, 263-8522, Japan
tsushima@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp